SINGLE BENCH

c 5.10/-

THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO. 3049 **OF 2006**

PETITIONERS : 1. Vinod Sharma, S/o Shri Manmohan Sharma, aged about 38 years,

2. Madan lal Sahu, S/o Late Shri Kholbahara Sahu, aged about 40 years,

3. kapilendra Sharma, S/o Ghanshyam Sharma, aged about 25 years,

4. Raj Kapoor, S/o Jaggu Singh, aged about 33 years,

5. Dilharan Yadav, S/o Late Shri Hinharam, aged about 40 years,

6. Smt. Madhuri, wife of Ram Prasad, aged about 38 years,

7. Smt. Jyoti Yadav, wife of Rameshwar Yadav, aged about 50 years,

8. Shivcharan Sahu, S/o Late Shri Samaru Sahu, aged about 46 years,

9. Padum Kumar, S/o Bhaneshwar Patel, aged about 53,

10. Rajendra Chawala, S/o Dayal Singh, aged about 50 years,

11. Mohammad Sirtaj, S/o Mohammad Munna

P.R. No. 3099/06
Presented by Shri T.C. Sharma
dated 27/6/06

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK A. G. BILASPUR
27/06/06

(2)

Ansari, aged about 30 years,

12. Sanjiv Sharma, S/o Late Shri Indraraj Sharma, aged about 32 years,
13. Smt. Naina Devi Sahu, wife of Vijay Sahu, aged about 28 years,
14. Sitaram, S/o Bhurwa Ram, aged about 30 years,

All R/o Village Jairam Nagar, Gram Panchayat-Jairam Nagar, Tahsil Masturi, Police Station Masturi, District Bilaspur (C.G)

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary Panchayat and Social Welfare Department, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G)
2. The Additional Collector,
Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
3. Sub-Divisional Officer, (Revenue) Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (C.G.)
4. Smt. Vrinda Bai W/o Chandu Lal, aged about 33 years, Occupation Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Jairam Nagar, Tahsil Masturi, District Bilaspur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF AN APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS/CERTIORARI ETC. OR DIRECTION/ORDER IN THE LIKE NATURE ETC.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

47

मामला क्रमांक ...WP 3049/06... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	28.6.2006 Shri Rajesh Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner. Shri N.K. Agrawal, Deputy Advocate General for the State. Heard on admission. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the ground raised in this petition is that respondent No.2-Additional Collector is not entitled to entertain the appeal against the order of Sub Divisional Officer i.e. Prescribed Authority whereby respondent No.4 was removed from the office of Sarpanch and as per Rule 3 (a) of the C.G. Panchayat (Appeal & Revision) Rules, 1995 only the Collector is entitled to entertain the appeal. After arguing some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he may be allowed to withdraw this petition with liberty to raise this point before the Additional Collector. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	

Roshan/-

30.6.06

30.6.06